"What's Identity Got to do With It? Mobilizing Identities in the Multicultural Classroom," *Identity Politics Reconsidered*, eds. Linda Martín Alcoff, Michael Hames-García, Satya P. Mohanty, and Paula M. L. Moya, New York: Palgrave MacMillan, 2006. Reprinted in *Identity in Education*, eds. Susan Sánchez-Casal and Amie Macdonald, New York: Palgrave MacMillan, 2009.

WHAT'S IDENTITY GOT TO DO WITH IT? MOBILIZING IDENTITIES IN THE MULTICULTURAL CLASSROOM

Paula M. L. Moya

Research done over several decades in a variety of disciplines across the social sciences and humanities has shown that students and teachers alike bring their identities and experiences with them into the classroom. Identities are highly salient for students' experiences in school; they make the classroom a different place for different students. This is because students with different identities in the same classroom will face different sets of what Claude Steele calls "identity contingencies." Steele uses the term to refer to the specific set of responses that a person with a given identity has to cope with in specific settings. Indeed, pulso a student is perceived to be will affect such variables as her placement in an educational tracking system, the friends she will have to choose among, and the academic and social expectations that her teachers will have of her. While these identity contingencies might seem relatively insignificant, they can have major consequences for the opportunities a person will have over the course of her life.

To the extent that we are genuinely interested in educating for a just and democratic society, then, we will recognize the salience of identities in the classroom. We will work to alter the negative identity contingencies that minority students commonly face, even as we find strategies for maximizing opportunities for all our students. But I will go even further than this. I argue that a truly multi-perspectival, multicultural education will work to mobilize identities in the classroom rather than seeking to minimize all effects of identities as part of the process of minimizing stereotypes. Only by treating identities as epistemic resources and mobilizing them, I contend, can we draw out their knowledge-generating potential and allow them to contribute positively to the production and transmission of knowledge.

IDENTITIES

What are identities? In my book, Learning From Experience, I define identities as the nonessential and evolving products that emerge from the dialectric

ecision play.

discrim.

between how subjects of consciousness identify themselves and how they are identified by others. Elsewhere in the book, I define them as "socially significant and context-specific ideological constructs that nevertheless refer in non-arbitrary (if partial) ways to verifiable aspects of the social world." I argue that identities are "indexical"—that is, they refer outward to social structures and embody social relations. Insofar as identities reference our understanding of ourselves in relation to others, they provide their bearers with particular perspectives on a shared social world. They are, in the words of Satya Mohanty, "ways of making sense of our experiences." 3

working toward a more egalitarian and free society. Only a realist approach project, world into a better one-insofar as we cannot get there except from heresignificant identities. To the extent that we are interested in transforming this which is essential to our ability to work toward the transformation of socially specific) nature of identity construction—an adequate understanding of effectively registers the dialectical (as well as historically- and culturally I argue that taking a realist approach to identity is critical to the project of finction because it allows me to more clearly delineate what is at stake in takanother. Indeed, identity is inescapably relational. Rather, I make the disnot to suggest that the two components can be, in fact, separated from one functioning of society will be a necessary part of our epistemic and political the transformation of the identities that are central to the arrangement and ing a realist—rather than an essentialist or an idealist—approach to identity, ponents: ascriptive and subjective identities. I make this analytical distinction tity I worked with in Learning From Experience and separate it into two com-In this essay, for analytical purposes, I take the dialectical concept of iden-

a schoolyard game by a group of white girls, to the economically debilitatat the expense of others, different social categories have accrued different social arrangements such as slavery, employment discrimination laws, and correlated with the selective distribution of societal goods and resources we are treated by others. More importantly, ascriptive identities are highly white male boss has trouble imagining her in a position of authority.4 ing, as when a Latina fails to gain a much-deserved promotion because her the personally painful, as when a young Black girl is refused admission to social and economic inclusion and exclusion. These processes can range from lized by those in positions of relative power to justify day-to-day processes of which linger long after the economic or social arrangements that gave rise to meanings and associations. These meanings and associations-many of restrictive housing covenants that unfairly advantaged some groups of people This is because, as a result of variable and historically specific economic and cal and collective, and generally operate through the logic of visibility. and what I sometimes call "social categories." They are inescapably historito us from outside the self, from society, and are highly implicated in the way gender categories such as "woman" and "man." Ascriptive identities come Examples include racial categories such as "Black" and "Asian" as well as them have been dismantled or even outlawed—are often invoked and mobi-Ascriptive identities are what some researchers call "imposed identities,"

coherent self across time. The term also implies our various acts of selfsense of self, our interior existence, our lived experience of being a more-or-less conformist," or a "joker." They can also advertise our values, such as when we one's personality, such as when we describe ourselves as being a "nonin relation to others. Thus, subjective identities can refer to aspects of somejective identity, or simply "subjectivity." Subjectivity refers to our individual subjective negotiation." "Subjectivity" she explains, "is itself located. Thus the social recognition. As Linda Martín Alcoff has argued, "the 'internal' is conabled." Although subjective identities sometimes feel as if they are completely ence available social categories, such as when we self-identify as "gay" or "disidentification, and thus necessarily incorporates our understanding of ourselves metaphysics implied by 'internal/external' is, strictly speaking, false."5 ditioned by, even constituted within, the 'external,' which is itself mediated by agreed that subjective identities are inescapably shaped by the experience of internal, and thus under our individual control, thinkers since Hegel have identify ourselves as a "Christian," or an "ecofeminist." Finally, they can refer-The other aspect of the dialectical concept of identity is what we call sub-

REALIST VS. ESSENTIALIST AND IDEALIST CONCEPTIONS OF IDENTITY

I draw the distinction between ascriptive and subjective identities because how we understand the relationship between them will determine whether and when we are essentialist, idealist, or realist about identity. Essentialists about identity suppose that the relationship between the ascriptive and the subjective is one of absolute identity. They imagine, for example, that-if-a person can be assigned to a racial or gender category on the basis of some invariable characteristic like skin color or genitalia, then everything else of significance, including how he or she self-identifies, his or her propensity for violence, personal characteristics, and even innate mental capacity follows from being a member of that particular group. These days, there are very few scholars who claim to be essentialist about identity. Notable exceptions would be Charles Murray and Richard Herrnstein, the authors of *The Bell Curre*, and some of the researchers who are searching the human genome for evidence that would provide a genetic basis for the sociohistorical concept of race. 6

Idealists about identity, by contrast, claim that there is no stable or discoverable relationship between the ascriptive and subjective aspects of identity. Idealists imagine that how others regard a person should be of little consequence to the strong-minded individual who makes her own way in the world. The neoconservative minority with the "pull yourself up by your own bootstraps" mentality is one kind of person who takes an idealist approach to identity. Shelby Steele in *The Content of Our Character* and Richard Rodriguez in *Hunger of Memory* provide good examples of a neoconservative idealist approach to identity. Another example of an idealist approach to identity would be that of the postmodernist who argues that we can disrupt historically sedimented and socially constituted identity categories

through individual acts of parody or refusal. I am thinking here of Judith Butler's argument in her influential work Gender Trouble.⁸ If essentialists impute too much significance to the social categories through which we receive societal recognition, idealists attribute too little. They underestimate the referential and social nature of identity. Identities, after all, refer to relatively stable and often economically entrenched social arrangements. Such social arrangements can change, and when they do, available identities will change along with them.⁹ But individuals, qua individuals, have much less power over their identities than idealists imagine.

Realists about identity, by contrast, understand ascriptive and subjective identities as always in dynamic relationship with each other. We understand that people are neither wholly determined by the social categories through which we are recognized, nor can we ever be free of them. Indeed, the intimate connection between the organization of a society and the available social categories that we must contend with in that society accounts for why no transformation of identity can take place without a corresponding transformation of society—and vice versa. This is true for everybody—Black, White, male, female, gay, straight, able-bodied, disabled—but the stakes for those of us who are members of stigmatized identity groups are especially high. Because the identity contingencies we are likely to face have potentially debilitating effects on our life-chances, we ignore the dynamics of identity at our peril. To the extent that we are interested in transforming our society into one that is more socially and economically just, we need to know how identities work in order to effectively work with them.

relevant, usually as an interpretation of one's behavior or an experience one a negative stereotype about a group that one is part of becomes personally socially significant-ways. He defines "stereotype threat" this way: "When of identity, including the fact that a person can experience her identity very and delinquency. In Casa Zapata, the Mexican-American theme dorm at a Chicana/o identity evokes associations of illegality, poverty, criminality, associations in different places. On most mainstream news programs, social categories, identitics are indexed to a historical time, place, and situaor treated in terms of the stereotype or that one might do something that is having, stereotype threat is the resulting sense that one can then be judged tingency that results from the fact that some identities are stigmatized in nomenon of "stereotype threat," which is a particular kind of identity conin which it is invoked. Claude Steele has done important work on the phedifferently at different times, depending on the historical context and locale There are a number of implications that follow from the contextual nature given identity changes with the context in which that identity is invoked, the hard work, achievement, and solidarity. As the meanings associated with any Stanford University, a Chicana/o identity is associated with pride, family, tion. A consequence of this is that the same identity evokes very different textual nature of all identities. As social constructs that draw upon available identity contingencies associated with that identity correspondingly change. Before I proceed, I need to make a point about the relational and con-

stereotypes about these two groups, African American and Latina/o students and either under-perform or drop out altogether. They are responding to the well in elementary school, begin to disidentify with education as adolescents example, Latina/o and African American students, who may have achieved students work actively to alter the identity contingencies these students have to stereotype threat in the classroom-unless their teachers and fellow who care about doing well in school are almost always going to be subject myriad messages about who they are and what they are capable of that they members of certain groups might make the decisions they do-why, for along—that an identity that feels very safe in one situation can feel very strates empirically what most of us have known at the level of experience all a realm that might alter the course of his or her future. Steele's work demonproducing, but, crucially, it can measurably affect a person's performance in to face in the classroom setting. literally, from a very unpleasant and uncomfortable situation. Given the get from the larger society. They are removing themselves emotionally, if not threatened in another. Moreover, it helps explain why individuals who are would inadvertently confirm it." 10 Stereotype threat is thus not only anxiety

are always already invoked in the classroom—usually in pernicious ways. attached to particular social identities. The first step toward addressing a transformation of the social context will necessarily alter the contingencies which particular identities are invoked. And because identities are dialectical, who take a realist approach to identity understand the importance of changcharacter, the identity contingencies to which they are subjected. Educators can be done to change typical educational outcomes (women just are bad at epistemic resources. recognizes all identities, but especially minority identities, as important The next step involves figuring out a way to mobilize identities in a way that ing the dialectical nature of identity and recognizing the fact that identities negative educational outcomes that are identity-based, then, is understand-By changing classroom dynamics, we transform the local social contexts in ing the classroom dynamics in which people with different identities interact. individuals should be able to escape, willfully and through sheer force of math; Latinos just are the type of people who drop out of school), or that identities helps us to avoid falling into the trap of thinking either that nothing invoked in particular social contexts. Understanding the dialectical nature of lem is not identity, per se, but the way in which particular identities are The relational and contextual nature of all identities reveals that the prob-

IDENTITIES AS EPISTEMIC RESOURCES

The idea that we should mobilize identities in the classroom is a somewhat unconventional idea. Identities are often thought by right-, classic liberal, and even left wing thinkers to be pernicious, or at least not conducive to rational deliberation and the public good. Some critics of identity are afraid of the difference that identities imply, afraid that an acknowledgment of

cultural or perspectival difference will lead inevitably to a situation of irresolvable conflict. For others, the risk of stereotype threat and prejudice is so great as to suggest that, rather than mobilizing (and recognizing) identities, we should try to eliminate the salience of identities in the classroom completely. Such critics advocate an "identity neutral" or "color blind" approach that denies the continuing salience of certain kinds of identity for everyday interactions and experiences.

dismissing identity is about as effective as dismissing gravity: you can do it, ascription is an inescapable—but not necessarily pernicious—fact of human immediate interests for the common good. Moreover, we see that identity dence is not there. Alcoff argues that when we look at how identities operof identity or its politically mobilized forms. Importantly, the corresponstrongly felt identities are necessarily exclusivist; (2) whatever is imposed such critiques are predicated on erroneous assumptions and a metaphysically inaccurate understanding of what identities are. ¹¹ Providing careful readings to make much of a difference in how it works.12 traveling to space to achieve a condition of zero-gravity), you are not going but unless you radically change the conditions that give rise to it (such as by begin with a metaphysically adequate understanding of it. Otherwise, has done suggests that any dismissal of identity is, at minimum, required to necessarily exclusivist and that they can be capable of seeing past their own ate in the world, we see that people with strongly felt identities are not identity supported by these assumptions corresponds to the lived experience the Service Employee International Union (SEIU)—to see if the picture of of identity-from the Puerto Rican Political Action Committee (PRPAC) to tices and claims of a wide range of political groups who attend to the salience tions of thought"; as such, they are rarely ever made explicit and defended Such assumptions, Alcoff notes, are "hardwired into western Anglo tradiests, values, beliefs, and practices that prevent their bearers from being able vidual freedom; and (3) identities bring with them an unwarying set of interassumptions about the nature and the effects of identities: (1) people with strates that their arguments against identity politics depend upon three basic of such political theorists as Todd Gitlin and Nancy Fraser, Alcoff demonwould be better off without is not the most productive or accurate way to ect have been doing, however, suggests that seeing identities as things we life; it can enable; as well as constrain, individual freedom. The work Alcoff (31). As a way of questioning these assumptions, Alcoff examines the practo participate in objective, rational deliberation about the common good from outside as an attribution of the self is a pernicious constraint on indi-Identities to dismantling the political critique of identities, demonstrating that understand them. Linda Alcoff, for example, devotes a chapter of Visible The work that those of us involved in the Future of Minority Studies proj-

Similarly, I have argued-elsewhere that identities should be considered important epistemic resources that are better attended to than dismissed or "subverted." The argument I have been making begins with the presumption—that—all-knowledge is situated knowledge; there is no transcendent

subject with a "God's eye" view on the world who can ascertain universal tive debate about the meaning of a text, a picture, or a social identity. The and therefore provides an important reference point in any sort of interpredo not constitute the totality of what can be considered "real." The "real" ing it can be said to have, our mental or discursive constructions of the world mental or discursive constructions of it. While our collective understandings may and as such, I hold that there is a "reality" to the world that exceeds humans orably to the idea that they are arbitrary or infinitely malleable. I am a realist, relativism any more than my view that identities are constructed leads inexedge is situated does not lead me down the primrose path of epistemological verifiable empirical knowledge must be evaluated in relation to a particular specific significance and truth-value. Moreover, I understand that even good, interests it will serve, in any evaluation of its historically and culturally ing both from where a given knowledge-claim is derived, as well as whose recognized that all knowledge is situated, I see the importance of considertruths independent of a historically and culturally specific situation. Having of "reality" is also what occasions some "truths" to carry over across specific part of the "real" that exceeds humans' mental and discursive constructions both shapes and places limits on the range of our imaginings and behaviors, provide our only access to "reality," and may imbue it with whatever meanhistorical, cultural, or material context. Significantly, my view that all knowlhistorical and cultural contexts.

Identities are fundamental to the process of all knowledge-production. social beings, we humans can no more escape the effects of our identities on our expert knowledge we encounter and produce through our research and we understand both our everyday experiences and the more specialized and view. Our identities thus shape our interpretive perspectives and bear on how not consciously aware of how these aspects of ourselves affect our points of ture, race, sexuality, ability, religion, age, and profession—even when we are inseparable from how we comprehend ourselves in terms of our gender, culwe understand ourselves to be in it. Our conceptual frameworks are thus "know" is intimately tied up with how we conceptualize that world and who And while identity and knowledge are not coextensive, nevertheless, what we identities provide us with particular perspectives on shared social worlds. interpretive perspectives than we can escape the process of identification itself. identities as for those of us who have "minority" identities. As fundamentally phenomena we observe. 14 This is as true for those who have "dominant" the projects we judge to be important, and the metaphors we use to describe the teaching. They influence the research questions we deem to be interesting, The link between knowledge and identity stems from the fact that our

The link between knowledge and identity provides a compelling rationale for why a diverse work force, professoriate, or research team maximizes objectivity and innovation in knowledge production. People with different identities are likely (although not certain) to ask different questions, take various approaches, and hold distinctive assumptions. Insofar as diverse members of a research team conceptualize their shared social world in

dissimilar ways, they may view a shared problem in discrete ways. In situations where mutual respect and intellectual cooperation are practiced, the existence of such divergent perspectives can lead to the sparking of a productive dialectic that might lead to a creative solution or advancement in knowledge. Complacency and too-easy agreement, by contrast, can lead to intellectual stultification. The presence of people who hold different perspectives but who are able to respect each other's intellect and creativity increases the possibility that a research team will come up with an innovative solution to a shared problem that looked, from one point of view alone, unsolvable. ¹⁵

perspectives are generally accepted as "mainstream" or "common-sense." views to bear on it. They will do so in order to counterbalance the overweenwill listen harder and pay more attention to those who bring marginalized quate—that is, more comprehensive and objective, as opposed to narrowly representations of peoples, ideas, and practices whose subjugation is fundaing "truth" of the views of those people in positions of dominance whose biased in favor of the status quo-understanding of a given social issue, they Consequently, if researchers and teachers are interested in having an ademental to the maintenance of an unjust hierarchical social order. 16 and "beautiful." Such alternative perspectives call to account the distorted complicate and challenge dominant conceptions of what is "right," "true, argued elsewhere, the alternative perspectives and accounts generated means and social influence to publish and broadcast their views, the views of through oppositional struggle provide new ways of looking at a society that the "common-sense" of the "mainstream," or dominant, culture. As I have people who are economically and socially marginalized do not form part of hidden from view. Unlike the perspectives of those who have the economic ple with subjugated identities is especially crucial to the process of investior society, paying special attention to the struggles for social justice of peo-American literature. Importantly, when the object of study is human culture what has happened with such subfields as women's history and Africanresearch questions that open up entirely new areas of inquiry. This is essentially tities increases the possibility that someone might ask previously ignored society or culture, for example, the existence of researchers with diverse idenfield like history or literary studies that takes as its object of study human advancements and innovations in knowledge-production. In a disciplinary because subjugated identities and perspectives are often marginalized and gating the functioning of a hierarchical social order such as our own. This is not even the best, explanation for why a diverse professoriate can lead to Solving a problem held in common is certainly not the only, and perhaps

It is for these reasons, and one more, that I argue that teachers in multi-cultural classrooms would do well to recognize identities as epistemic resources and work to mobilize them in the classroom. As Michael Hames-García argues in an essay about the teaching of American literature, an important part of educating for a democratic society involves helping students understand what is at stake in the outcome of various debates. If If students are to grow up to be participatory citizens in a functioning

of the topics under discussion. capable of evaluating and transforming their society even as it has the potential cies in that classroom. And where the teacher and students are successful at changing the classroom dynamics and, by extension, the identity contingenotherwise missing) information to the discussion at hand—has the effect of sions as privileged members—participants whose identities bring crucial (and a whole will benefit from the introduction of alternative (non-dominant) conceptions of what that "best way" might be. Whether the class is interto contribute to the production of more objective, and less biased, accounts education as a whole. 18 Finding ways to mobilize identities in the classroom has shown that when education is presented as being relevant to students' lives, they will be more invested in both the discussion at hand and their the stakes for students' life choices will be that much more evident. Research dents themselves) to historically specific material interests and consequences, perspectives. Importantly, involving minority students in classroom discuspreting a novel or debating the merits of welfare reform, the discussion as involve introducing all students-majority and minority alike-to alternative conversation about the best way to live in the world. This will necessarily thus serves the dual purpose of empowering students as knowledge producers linking the perspectives expressed (in the novel, the textbook, or by the studemocracy, they need to see themselves as contributors to an ongoing

EDUCATIONAL POLICY IMPLICATIONS

chance to examine their own identities, she will be training them to more of educating for a multicultural democracy. Through giving her students the encourage the sort of productive dialogue that is fundamental to the goal to draw on. She will have a greater probability of success in her efforts to sexuality, class, religion, and ability will have a rich variety of perspectives By contrast, a teacher whose classroom is diverse along lines of race, gender, people believe differently. They will, moreover, be deprived of important why they believe what they do will have difficulty understanding why other ture our common society. Students who are not encouraged to think about on the convictions that guide their judgments about the best way to strucstudents with opportunities to exercise their critical capacities by reflecting a functioning democracy is an important goal of education, it must provide assumptions and values. Insofar as preparing students to be good citizens of a wide range of policies that are external to the classroom, but that bear on adequately negotiate disagreements arising as a result of cultural, racial, occasions to consider changing their beliefs and transforming their identities. her efforts to encourage her students to think critically about their own religion, and ability-she will have fewer perspectival differences to exploit in that is extremely homogeneous—along lines of race, gender, sexuality, class, for integrated schools and classrooms. If a teacher is working in a classroom what happens within it. At the most basic level, it provides a strong justification The recognition that identities are epistemic resources has implications for

economic, and class differences. Furthermore, by allowing her students to consider their own implication and agency in the structure and functioning of our society, she will be developing their critical capacities to imagine that society could be organized differently. The epistemic and pedagogical importance of perspectival difference, then, suggests that teachers and educational policy makers should resist, in whatever ways possible, the re-segregation along the lines of race and class of schools and classrooms that is currently taking place throughout this country.

about the economic and social salience of identity and the epistemic signifination's classrooms. in affecting educational policies regarding the population diversity of our cance of perspectival diversity. Such discourses will be crucial to our success tices, we need to continue our efforts to develop more compelling discourses multicultural education cannot expect to easily end current tracking pracfits of a diverse classroom. So, while educators committed to transformative dren. Moreover, they lack an appreciation for the potential epistemic beneeducational outcomes--for their own children as well as for nonelite chil-They thus fail to acknowledge the salience of identity caregories for affecting that it ensures a more educationally challenging environment for their child. Such parents assume, mistakenly, that ability-based tracking is unbiased and parents who perceive their children to be beneficiaries of the tracking system. 19 ther shown that the resistance to de-tracking is extremely strong among clite a student ends up as are the student's test scores. Wells and Serna have furties like ethnicity and gender are as instrumental in determining where students will be tracked, these researchers demonstrate that ascriptive identithe decision-making processes of the people responsible for deciding how more to segregate along the lines of race and class than to discriminate along and Irene Serna suggest that tracking, as it is currently implemented, works the lines of educational preparedness or ability. In several studies examining tices. The work of educational researchers Jeannie Oakes, Amy Stuart Wells, the classroom is the need to re-examine current ability-based tracking prac-A further implication of the importance of having diverse perspectives in

Finally, the need for diverse perspectives and the importance of fostering dialogue in the classroom calls for a re-examination of current policies affecting the funding and oversight of our nation's public school system. As teachers know very well, it takes both time and space for us to get to know our students well, and for our students to get to know and respect each other. Moreover, it takes money to buy an adequate supply of that time and space. Without sufficient funding to hire well-qualified teachers, purchase up-to-date teaching materials, build and maintain safe and functional physical facilities, and retain the necessary administrative support staff, public schools will not be able to provide the small classrooms and interactive learning environments that are necessary for mining diverse perspectives and fostering productive dialogues.

Indeed, the steady defunding of public schools—and the consequent rush of panicked parents toward private schools, home schooling, and school vouchers—poses a grave danger to our democratic system inasmuch as it

on the best way to structure our diverse society, true, and beautiful, our children are highly unlikely to spend time reflecting out engaging in dialogues that challenge their sense of what is good, right, responsibility. Without a diversity of perspectives in the classroom, and with students with the opportunities they need to fully develop their sense of civic public schools sufficiently to provide good, safe, educational environments and maintaining a democratic society.²⁰ Under this view, unless we fund our that are attractive to a wide diversity of parents, we will fail to provide all our individual's ability to act purposefully with others in the service of creating moreover, requires engagement with diverse perspectives and is crucial to an around which to orient and pursue one's life projects." Its development meaningful life options from which to choose, upon which to act, and desires, and beliefs, be they chosen or unchosen, and to enjoy a range of ability to reflect independently and critically upon basic commitments ist autonomy. Minimalist autonomy, according to Reich, "refers to a person's sibility and diminishing their capacity to develop what he terms a minimal can have the effect, Reich argues, of stunting children's sense of civic respon reinforce their beliefs rather than in environments that challenge them. This school system are more likely to place them in learning environments that American Education, parents who pull their children out of the public As Rob Reich discusses in his Bridging Liberalism and Multiculturalism in effectively eviscerates public education's function as a shaper of civic identities

Without diminishing the importance of working for large-scale school reform, I understand that teachers cannot wait for reform before they step into the classroom. Consequently, I turn my attention now to how teachers can work to mobilize identities in the classrooms they currently occupy. I begin by addressing a common mistake that teachers and students both make, that is, attributing to another student an "alternative" or "marginal" perspective that he or she does not have. I then discuss more specifically how to mobilize identities in a way that does not burden students, or stereotype them, or prevent them from growing and changing.

IDENTITY AND THE REALM OF THE VISUAL

An important part of mobilizing identities in the classroom in the way that I am proposing involves acknowledging—and then disentangling—the relationship between identity and the realm of the visual. As I indicated above, some identities appear to be visibly marked on the body. That is, they exist as social categories or ascriptive identities in part because they reference what are visual bodily characteristics (such as skin color, hair texture, limb shape, etc.) and assign to those characteristics an excess of social meaning. It is important to note that these visual bodily characteristics have no intrinsic meaning. Rather, they become imbued with meaning through the conflictive process involved in producing a social consensus about the way our society should be organized. Members of a society for whom a particular identity is especially meaningful will be socialized to select out and "see" the visual

bodily characteristics commonly associated with that identity. Such socialization is necessary because such bodily characteristics are not visually obvious to everyone—especially to those people who have not been brought up to see them.

Be marked on the body. Others include gender and some kinds of disabilities guch as blindness, paralysis or limb loss). By comparison, other kinds of identities are commonly thought to be "invisible." Examples include sexuality, class, and other kinds of disabilities (such as dyslexia or chronic fatigue syndrome). Even with these "invisible" identities, though, we often behave as if we can reliably "see" identity. This is because we, as members of a society in which such identities are seen as significant, are socialized to pick up visual cues (bodily comportment, clothing, accessories) as a way of "seeing," and thus "knowing," them.

of a person's identity will imply for the kind of individual that person will also that we can "know" in a reliably determinative way what those aspects imagine not only that we can "see" race, gender, ability, and sexuality, but though we understand, at some level, that we may well be mistaken. We belongs. We fetishize what is visible to us as if it contains the "truth" of the invisible to the eye, we consistently operate in the world as if identities are read sexuality or class status on the body, and that many disabilities are some men dress and live as women and vice versa, that we cannot reliably that Latina/os come in a wide range of colors and physiognomies, that rendency to privilege the act of "seeing" the Other as a proxy for "knowing" turn out to be. person-revealing their inner thoughts, capacities, and attitudes-even "know" to what racial, gender, class, or sexual orientation group someone always visible. We imagine that we can "see" difference, and that we always www.ferestim-that has been useful to me in thinking through our societal the Other.21 Even as we realize that some black people can "pass" for white, Sara Hackenberg has recently identified a process and coined a term-

about their own enduring able-bodiedness, even as it provides a measure visual fetishism can give some nondisabled persons a false sense of confidence case when such people are confronted by those racial, sexual, cultural, or some people with an unfounded sense of superiority. This is particularly the changing society. But at a more problematic level, visual fetishism provides threaten them with. In this way, visual fetishism can foster profound values, practices, and hierarchies that racial and cultural "otherness" seems to of solace to the nativists who seek to shield themselves from the instability of they are different, challenge their own. Because of the Othering it involves, bodily "others" who confound them, whose practices and values, because fetishism can thus be a source of comfort to us as inhabitants of a rapidly ratives we have internalized about who we are in relation to others. Visual fetishism helps orient us in the world as we act in accordance with the narindispensable to our ability to act in the world. At a very basic level, visual the people we come into contact with is experienced by most of us as being It is important to remember that the act of "seeing" and thus "knowing"

ignorance by preventing those who are most anxious about the existence of "others" in their midst from learning more about the "others" they know so little about, even as it can exacerbate oppression by keeping such people from interrogating their own false sense of superiority.

correlation between that person's ability to speak and his or her mental petent than someone who speaks clearly—when in fact there may be no difficulty speaking is more likely to be judged by others as mentally incomskinned black man. And finally, in a society like ours that, as Tobin Siebers society like ours that has long associated skin color with status, a darkhas pointed out, has no common experience of disability, a person who has driving an expensive vehicle in a predominantly white area than is a lightskinned black man is at more risk of being pulled over and interrogated while gay-bashed than is a lesbian who is more gender conforming. Similarly, in a heterosexuality, a lesbian who "looks" like a dyke is at greater risk of being day experience of oppression. In a society like ours that fears both strong pernicious aspects of visual fetishism, and thus matter to a person's day-tothrough the realm of the visual is also the extent to which they activate the women and women whose sexuality exceeds the bounds of normative for their experience. After all, the extent to which identities are referenced how we see them, we must yet recognize that how we see them does matter Even as we exercise caution with respect to judging people on the basis of

MOBILIZING IDENTITY IN THE CLASSROOM

How can we, as teachers, mobilize identities in the classroom in a productive way? How do we avoid stereotyping students on the basis of visual fetishism even as we give due weight to the perspectives they have developed as the result of the identities they have? How do we bring our students' experiences into the classroom without either pigeonholing them as "native informants" or allowing them to be unquestioned authorities on an identity group as a whole? How, in other words, do we recognize our students as complex human beings not reducible to their ascriptive identities even as we take full advantage of the knowledge they have gained as a result of being socially situated beings?

Mobilizing identitics, as I am defining the practice, involves mining our students' identity-based perspectives to see what insights into an issue they might have to offer, as well as subjecting our students' identities to evaluation and possible transformation. As educators, we want to attend to the various perspectives our students bring into the classroom, even as we give them an opportunity to change and grow. After all, if we wanted our students, upon leaving our classrooms, to be the same people they were when they entered it, we would not have accomplished very much. Moreover, because socialization as a fundamental aspect of all forms of education cannot be avoided, we need to think carefully about the values our pedagogical practices support. Education should give students the tools they need to evaluate the beliefs,

conditions, and truth claims they will be exposed to throughout their lives; it should not be about merely inculcating status quo values. The purpose of a transformative multicultural education, moreover, should be to educate for democracy and social justice; it should be to help our students develop a better understanding of the structure of society and an increased sense of efficacy with respect to their own ability to influence positive social change. With these purposes in mind, I propose several principles for successfully mobilizing identities in the classroom.

Remember that every student is a complex individual with the capacity to contribute positively to the learning environment. Unless we treat our students—and, in particular, our minority students—as complex human beings with the capacity to contribute positively to the educational goals of the classroom, we risk reinforcing negative identity contingencies and creating classroom conditions that trigger stereotype threat. Since stereotype threat is activated when students fear they will be evaluated in terms of a prevailing negative stereotype about a group with which they are associated, students need to feel that their teachers, and peers, are capable of seeing them as complex individuals with the capacity to grow and change rather than as embodiments of a reductive stereotype. Although, theoretically, any student can be subject to stereotype threat, the risk for our minority students is much negative stereotypes in our society at large.

Work to get to know each student as a particular individual who is shaped or her views in one forum or another. A number of university professors everyone has to participate in a conversation to the same degree. The impormy students to be plagued by performance anxiety and I do not believe that alternative ways for my students to contribute to the discussion. I never want and explain that he is either nervous about his language skills (this is interrupt than with a lack of something to say. Occasionally, he will say no, a student is particularly quict during class discussions, I will ask her privately shy to talk, without forcing them to talk if they are very uncomfortable. If students talking. Think about ways to clear space for students who are too a sufficient amount of discussion time, and introduce topics designed to get conferences. This is a lot of work, but really worth it if you can make the tant issue for me is that everyone should have the opportunity to share his frequently the case for ESL students), or simply shy. In such cases, I offer she has in entering the discussion often has more to do with a reluctance to if she would like for me to call on her. Usually, she will say yes—the trouble time; there is simply no better way to get to know someone. Third, set aside most salient for each of them as individuals. Second, hold individual student open-ended so that you can get a sense of what aspects of their identity are about themselves for you at the beginning of the class. Make the question students as individual and complex human beings. I will suggest here a few that have worked well for me: First, ask your students to write something larger social structures. We can use several strategies to get to know our and reshaped as a social being in and through collective identity categories and

I know, myself included, have taken advantage of our universities' move toward web-based discussion forums. I find that students who are uncomfortable talking aloud in class can be quite cloquent in online forums. Web-based discussions have not replaced in-class discussions in my courses, but they have enhanced my classroom discussions in crucial ways. Most importantly, learn to listen carefully as you allow your most die-hard assumptions to be challenged. Do not assume that an Asian student's parent pushes him too hard. Do not assume that a Latina/o student's first language is Spanish. Do not assume that your women students are not going to do well in math. Rather, listen to what your students say about their growing-up, their partners, their abilities and disabilities, their intellectual and social commitments. Do not expect consistency and allow for contradictions. Treat each student as an individual who is shaped and reshaped by his or her changing social and economic situation.

sis of society that allows them to understand their connectedness to othersmobilize identities in the classroom must help our students develop an analystrategies to denaturalize your students' identities. In a society like ours that and brought into the realm of examination and evaluation.²³ and "inaccurate" identities can be epistemically useful to an observer for remain untheorized and profoundly parochial. And while even untheorized disturbed, their identities (and thus their interpretive perspectives) will edge. In general, unless people's customary ways of being in the world are significant-relationship between social location, experience, and knowlwill introduce students to the complicated and far from obvious-but tion explicit will not only denaturalize the process of identity formation, but culturally located ways of being a person in the world. Making the connecties (including their own) are linked to historically-, geographically-, and denaturalizing our students' customary (narrowly individualist) ways of being in the world. It means demonstrating to our students that all identiand, in particular, to those who seem most different. This involves idealizes the unconstrained abstract individual, those of us who wish to ers' ability to effect positive social change until they have been denaturalized investigating the workings of ideology, they will not contribute to their bear-Help your students to understand their connectedness to others by developing

Find strategies for denaturalizing your students' identities that are appropriate to your classroom and to your students. Denaturalizing identities in a lecture class will be a different project than in a discussion class. For example, in a lecture class I co-taught with Hazel Markus in Spring 2004. I watched as she accomplished, in an effective way, the task of demonstrating that all identities are linked to historically-, geographically-, and culturally located ways of being a person in the world. One day, Markus began the class by having our students fill out a short psychological survey describing themselves, their ethnic identities, and their attitudes about upward mobility and prejudice. In the lecture that followed, she introduced them to the large body of social science research in the United States and in Japan that describes what she has termed "self-ways." ²⁴ In a subsequent class, Markus

them, and, in the process, transform their identities. are empowered to question their own received notions, occasionally rethink explore different aspects of their own identities. When students are given the tools to understand how and why they believe and value what they do, they tions of the "others" they are interacting with, even as it frees them to pitfalls of assuming, too quickly, that they know the attitudes and assumpety. Denaturalizing the process of identity formation has the advantage of students' customary sense of themselves as self-created and wholly uple and formed in relation to his or her situation. It helps them to avoid the helping our students understand that everyone's identity is complex and mulbut not wholly determined, by the values and mores of their particular socias analogous to the Japanese young people who have been similarly shaped autonomous individuals, but it also pushed them to understand themselves their racially-and-gender-stratified society. This not only disturbed our the world. Markus's research and pedagogical strategy effectively allowed students conformed to an identifiably "American" way of being a person in have been shaped, but not wholly determined, by the values and mores of our students to see themselves as racially- and culturally located beings who demonstrated how-with some variation along gender and race lines-our brought the results of the survey to share. In presenting the results, Markus

mobilize identities in the classroom. meaning, as Sánchez-Casal did, is thus an important strategy in the effort to tunity to reorient their perspectives. Identifying preexisting communities of put those ideas to the test through dialogue or debate in a classroom setting. need to feel that their ideas are good (i.e., valued) before they can effectively to withdraw from that realm of interaction by disidentifying with it. Students their ideas from the professor or even one other student in a class, they begin during the crucial period of development and clarification of those ideas. I dents who have minority perspectives a sense of affirmation for their ideas works against the false notion of the individual knower even as it provides stustudents to develop their ideas in concert with like-minded peers; it thus identifying existing communities of meaning and sorting her students into experimented with mobilizing identities in her Latina/o Studies classroom by Keeping our students engaged is a prerequisite for providing them an opporknow from talking with my minority advisees that if they get no support for be discussed in class. The beauty of Sánchez-Casal's approach is that it allows dents in each group to work together to develop arguments on issues that will small working groups based on those communities. 25 She then asks the stuessarily involve the students in a more active way. Susan Sánchez-Casal has Mobilizing identities in a discussion class, as opposed to a lecture, will nec-

One way to identify existing communities of meaning is by noting how students sort themselves when they enter our classroom. Which students consistently sit together? Do they share a racial or ethnic background? Are they of the same gender? Do they hail from the same geographical community? Are they affiliated with a particular university club or religious group? What is the source of their identification with each other? Paying attention

alter somewhat. Changing the focus of discussion and re-forming working access to online file sharing—the possible communities of meaning should change the issue—from affirmative action to abortion, from handicapped ability stand alone as the determining factor for the formation of working of meaning that are drawn along other lines. We can do this by emphasizing want to help students realize that they might be able to form communities along one set of identity lines. While we want to give due weight to the commultiple beings not reducible to their most visible ascriptive identities. groups in your classroom to create new communities of meaning can reinferent aspects of their identities become salient in different situations. As we concern is to switch topics of discussion to allow students to see how the difgroups for the entire duration of the class. One possible way to address this the complexity of students' identities and by not letting race, or gender, or munities of meaning into which students initially sort themselves, we also classroom, we should keep in mind the importance of avoiding polarization the learning process. Of course, in setting up communities of meaning in the Knowing this will help us figure out how best to engage our students in understand themselves relative to the other students in our classrooms to where and with whom our students sit will tell us a lot about how they force the lesson that all people, themselves as well as others, are complex and

a multitude of perspectives to bear on the issue. some identities and perspectives at the expense of others. And we can explain standing the issue. We can require the class as a whole to read articles, watch strategize ways to give marginalized perspectives and minority identities to our students that such apparent "imbalance" is necessary for opening served or denied by the social and economic structures that have privileged event or perspective. Additionally, we can point to the interests historically students extra time to present background information necessary for undernity. One way teachers can preempt the necessity of such intercession is to respect by being prepared to compensate for differences in power relations and up the issues under discussion and for maximizing objectivity by bringing videos, or do research projects that excavate a minority or erased historical cating a position that is not easily understood (or held) by the majority of priority in the discussion. We can, for example, give students who are advothus involve interceding on behalf of a marginalized viewpoint or communature of our society, we are likely to be called upon to compensate or adjust adjudicate conflicts in values that enter the discussion. Given the hierarchical Part of creating a context in which disagreements can be aired safely may for disparities in power that seep into the classroom from the larger society, Actively cultivate an atmosphere of intellectual cooperation and mutual

Adjudicating conflicts in values can be equally difficult but just as necessary to the project of creating an atmosphere of intellectual cooperation and mutual respect. Of course, we need to be careful to adjudicate conflicts in a way that does not close down discussion. To that end, students will need to know from us, through consistency of word and action, that we will not penalize them for taking the wrong position. Moreover, teachers should

and contradiction. Our goal should not be to reach consensus (although cooperation and mutual respect while allowing for an exploration of conflict in a discussion is that true dialogue can occur only in an atmosphere of mutual respect. Where real disagreements arise, we will be called upon to ferences and a meeting of intellectual and emotional challenges. this vein should be directed toward fostering an atmosphere of intellectual will cause our students to-mistrust-us; they know we have a perspective and than we do. This is not to say that we should stay out of the discussion consensus is not bad in itself!); our goal should be a respectful airing of difmake sure that students show respect for each other's views. Our efforts in we refuse to do the same. Another crucial reason we may need to intervene are acting in bad faith if we expect them to lay their cards on the table while will feel cheated if we pretend we do not. Besides, our students expect to entirely, or that we should tolerate any form of rudeness or disrespect. The fellow students, who have less real power over their peers in our classrooms or dialogue. In general, disagreements and strong rebukes are best voiced by avoid having too strong a voice or position at the beginning of any debate learn something from us (we are the teachers, after all!) and may feel that we first reason we cannot exempt ourselves from the discussion is that doing so

Remember that you are teaching the practice of critical thinking rather than to eat, so teachers do not have to discuss every hot button social issue with it is neither possible nor necessary to discuss every issue in every classroom are reinforced in their homophobia. Accordingly, we must bear in mind that student is silenced, alienated, or shamed, while his fundamentalist students student (or if he himself is gay) in a classroom full of anti-gay religious our particular set of students; it is not always safe for students to voice or champion minority perspectives. After all, if a teacher has only one gay sensitive to the sorts of issues we introduce for discussion in the context of of things that are good to discuss and evaluate. Once we introduce students their students to convey the general idea that social issues are in the class to gct across the general idea that fish are in the class of things that are good context. Just as I do not have to give my children every different kind of fish gay marriage. The teacher might end up creating a situation in which his one gay ing a practice rather than delivering a product means that not every issue a particular ideological stance. At base, remembering that we are encouragon throughout their lives. to the dialectic of identity and the principle of socially situated knowledge. fundamentalists, it might not be the wisest idea to bring up the subject of tify and mobilize communities of meaning in the classroom, we must be needs to be discussed in every classroom. Indeed, in order to effectively identhey should be able to extend those lessons into other arenas of debate later

V

The key to mobilizing identities effectively in the classroom is your own identity. If we, as teachers, hold and neglect to examine and change stereotypical or prejudicial attitudes toward members of socially stigmatized groups, we are going to take those views into the classroom and mobilize them, whether we intend to or not. Because of the power dynamic inherent

stereotype our society holds about Asians and math, the presumption he will may be terrible at math; he may have received a 480 on his math SAT, and students, he is probably going to be accorded a good deal of credibility. He example, a teacher is an Asian man who is teaching math to a group of white will matter differently according to pho and phat you are teaching. If, for classroom. And because identities are relational and contextual, your identity challenging stereotypes as much as reaching differential equations. though, that part of her work in that math classroom is going to involve hard time at first. This is not to say that she should not do it. It is to say, teaching math to a group of white students, she is probably going to have a face is that he knows what he is doing. But if she is a Black woman who is be a substitute teacher who normally teaches art. But because of the positive understand those dynamics so that we can work with them. Whatever-your classroom dynamics. As much as possible, then, we need to be aware of and identity, it is going to matter for how you interact with the students in your every classroom situation, our identities will have a tremendous influence on

racist, sexist, heterosexist, and ableist ways of knowing and unknowing, acknowledge that we are implicated in the production and reproduction of students must find a way to acknowledge that the social dynamics we discuss working to transform her classroom into one that meets the needs of all her accusing—as well as their corollaries, guilt, and defensiveness—we have to side of the classroom. Even as we work to avoid the pitfalls of blaming and and study are social dynamics that we are all a part of both inside and outtribute to a situation of defensiveness and polarization), a teacher who is making such an accusation will never alleviate the problem, but will conaccusing any of our students of being racist, or sexist, or ableist, (because makes it harder to confront their very powerful effects. So, without ever room does not make them insignificant to educational outcomes. It just for example, about race. Pretending that identities do not matter in the classor NIMBY phenomenon that some teachers fall into when they are talking, the door of the classroom, we must work to avoid the "not in my backyard linking learning to life. Because it is not possible to check our identities at room-yours as well as your students'-affirms yet again the importance of students' daily lives. The recognition that all identities matter in the class-Finally, find ways to link the issues you discuss in the classroom to your

As teachers and students, we are not responsible for what our society and parents teach us, any more than we are responsible for being born into a particular situation or having an identity ascribed to us. Identities, initially, are given to us. What counts is what we do with them—whether we embrace them without question or whether we work to transform them by critically examining the dogmas of our society, thus undermining the ideologies and associations that unfairly disadvantage some people at the expense of others. Certainly, mobilizing identities productively in the multicultural classroom will never be an easy, or even a completely safe, thing to do. But doing so is both possible and necessary if we are to ever be successful at creating a more just and democratic society for everyone.

NOTES

1. Claude Steele, "Not Just a Test," The Nation 278.17 (2004): 38-40; Claude M. Steele, Steven J. Spencer, and Joshua Aronson, "Contending with Group Image: The Psychology of Stereotype and Social Identity Threat," Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 34 (2002): 379-440.

2. References are to my Learning from Experience: Minority Identities, Multicultural Struggles (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2002), pp. 86 n. 2, 13, 133. It should be clear from my definitions that I understand identities to be both constructed and real. Identities are constructed because they are based on interpreted experience and ways of knowing that explain the ever-changing social world. They are also real because they refer outward to causally significant features of the world. Moreover, because identities refer (sometimes in partial and inaccurate ways) to the changing but relatively stable contexts from which they emerge, they are neither self-evident and immutable nor radically unstable and arbitrary. Identities, in sum, are causally significant ideological constructs that become intelligible within specific historical and material contexts.

3. Satya P. Mohanty, Literary Theory and the Claims of History: Postmodernism, Objectivity, Multicultural Politics (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1997), p. 216.

4. For concrete examples of how racial ascription works in three elementary schools, see Amanda Lewis, "Everyday Race-Making: Navigating Racial Boundaries in Schools," American Behaviaral Scientist 47.3 (2003): 283–305.

5. Linda Martin Alcoff, "Who's Afraid of Identity Politics?" Reclaiming Identity:

Realist Theory and the Predicament of Postmodernism, eds. Paula M. L. Moya and

Michael R. Hames-García (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2000), p. 337.

6. Richard J. Herrnstein and Charles Murray, The Bell Curve: Intelligence and Class Structure in American Life (New York: Free Press, 1994); Vincent Sarich and Frank Miele, Race: The Reality of Human Differences (Boulder, Colo.: Westwiew Press, 2004). For critiques of Herrnstein and Murray, see Bernie Devlin et al., Intelligence, Genes, and Success: Scientists Respond to The Bell Curve (New York: Springer, 1997); Steven Fraser, ed., The Bell Curve Wars: Race, Intelligence, and the Future of America (New York: Basic Books, 1995).

7. Shelby Steele, The Content of Our Character: A New Vision of Race in America (New York: St. Martin's Press, 1990); Richard Rodriguez, Hunger of Memory: The Education of Richard Rodriguez (New York: Bantam Books, 1983). For a critique of such necoconservative idealist approaches to identity, see my Learning from Experience, esp. chap. 4.

8. Judith Butler, Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity (New York: Routledge, 1990).

A good example of an identity that is emergent is that of "mixed-race." For more on mixed-race identity, see Ronald Sundstrom, "Being and Being Mixed Race," Social Theory and Practice 27.2 (2001): 285-307; Michele Elam, "Pedagogy, Politics and the Practice of 'Mixed Race," Navigating the Frontline of Academia, eds. Deirdre Raynor and Johnnella Butler (Seattle: University of Washington Press, forthcoming).

10. Claude M. Steele, Steven J. Spencer, and Joshua Aronson, "Contending with Group Image," p. 389.

11. See Linda Martin Alcoff, Visible Identities: Race, Gender, and the Self (New York: Oxford University Press, 2005), esp. chap. 2.

12. See also Hazel Rose Markus, Claude M. Steele, and Dorothy M. Steele, "Colorblindness as a Barrier to Inclusion: Assimilation and Nonimmigrant

and pernicious ways. Because of this, they are opposed to "color-blind call "identity-safe" classrooms involves understanding the precise ways that approaches to identity. historical identities are already mobilized in the classroom, generally in negative model of identity, Markus, Steele, and Steele argue that creating what they Minorities," Daedalus 129.4 (2000): 233-59. Working with a social-psychological

See my Learning from Experience. See also Paula M. L. Moya and Michael R Justice (Minneapolis: Minnesota, 2004); Alcoff, Visible Identities. R. Hames-García, Fugitive Thought: Prison Movements, Race, and the Meaning of Hames-García, Reclaiming Identity, Satya P. Mohanty, Literary Theory, Michael

14. See Natalic Angier, Woman: An Intimate Geography (Boston: Houghton Mifflin Biological Theories about Men and Women (New York: Basic Books, 1992). Co., 1999), esp. chap. 3. See also Anne Fausto-Sterling, Myths of Gender

15. Sandra Harding, Whose Science? Whose Knowledge?: Thinking from Women's Lives epistemology in her contribution to this volume. (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1991). See also the discussion of standpoint

16. Paula M. L. Moya, Learning from Experience, p. 44. See also Satya P. Mohanty Literary Theory, pp. 213-14.

17. Michael Hames-García, "Which America Is Ours?: Martí's 'Truth' and the Journal of Social Issues 60.1 (2004): 17-34. Lopez, "The Benefits of Diversity in Education for Democratic Citizenship, Poundations of American Literature," Modern Fiction Studies 49.1 (2003) 19-53. See also Patricia Gurin, Biren (Ratnesh) A. Nagda, and Gretchen E.

18. Nadga, Kim, and Truelove, in their study of a multicultural educational initiative ity to make changes in the existing social structure was measurably increased. Biren (Ratnesh) A. Nagda, Chan-woo Kim, Yaffa Truelove, "Learning About Difference, Learning with Others, Learning to Transgress," Journal of Social with content-based learning, students' levels of confidence regarding their abilacting with diverse peers. They show that when intergroup dialogue is combined the negative effect of decreasing students' sense of agency with respect to interat the University of Washington, argue that disconnecting learning from life has Issues 60.1 (2004): 195-214.

19. Jeannic Oakes, "Two Cities Tracking and Within-School Segregation," Teachers College Record 96.4 (1996): 681-90; Amy Stuart Wells and Irene Serna, "The Racially Mixed Schools," Harvard Educational Review 66.1 (1996): 93–118. Politics of Culture: Understanding Local Political Resistance to Detracking in

20. Reich, Rob. Bridging Liberalism and Multiculturalism in American Education

(Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2002), p. 117. Sara Hackenberg, "Reading the Seen: Mystery and Visual Fetishism in Nineteenth-Century Popular Narrative" (Ph.D. Diss., Stanford University,

22. Tobin Siebers, "Passing," Gary L. Albrecht, ed. Encyclopedia of Disability, 5 vol and Medicine 23.1 (2004): 1-22; Tobin Siebers, "What Can Disability Studies Toronto Press, 1998); Tobin Siebers, "Disability as Masquerade," Literature umes. (Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 2005). See also Rod Michalko, The Mystery of the Eye and the Shadow of Blindness (Toronto: University of Learn from the Cultural Wars," Cultural Critique 55 (2003): 182-216; Tobin

Because identities are indexical—because they refer outward to social structures and embody social relations—even previously untheorized identities that are Siebers, "Disability Studies and the Future of Identity Politics," in this volume.

> a shared and very complicated social world. potential to provide us with differential, and potentially valuable, access to brought into contact and dialogue with other interpretive perspectives have the

24. Hazel Rose Markus, Patricia R. Mullaly, and Shinobu Kitayama, "Selfways: Diversity in Models of Cultural Participation," The Conceptual Self in Context: Culture, Experience, Self-Understanding, eds. Ulric Neisser and David S. Jopling (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997), pp. 13-61.

of knowledge, and of the way in which "common sense" changes according to the social context. See also Lynn Hankinson Nelson, "Epistemological Rational Deliberation," Feminist Epistemologies, 245-64. "Feminism and Objective Interests: The Role of Transformation Experiences in tions. The concept is useful for reminding us of the social nature of identity and concept of communities of meaning, which they define as interpretive perspec-In their essay, "Identity, Realist Pedagogy, and Racial Democracy in Higher Elizabeth Potter (New York: Routledge, 1993) 121-59; Susan Babbitt. Communities," Feminist Epistemologies, eds. Linda [Martín] Alcoff and tives on the world that are common to people who come from similar social loca-University, 2003), Susan Sánchez-Casal and Amie A. Macdonald introduce the Education" (presented at The Civil Rights Project conference at Harvard