

University of Richmond
First Year Seminar

FYS 100: A Generation of Cynics
Fall 2017

Course Times: Monday (M) & Wednesday (W) 12:00 – 1:15pm
Regular Course Location: 305 Weinstein Hall

Course Instructors

Sojourna J. Cunningham
Email (preferred): scunning@richmond.edu
Office: Boatwright Library 185
Phone: (804) 289-8977
Office Hours: Tues 3-6 & by appointment

Samantha Guss
Email (preferred): sguss@richmond.edu
Office: Boatwright Library 182
Phone: (804) 289-8851
Office Hours: Thurs 2-6 & by appointment

Overview of Course

The purpose of this course is to explore the creation, consumption, and dissemination of information. The Internet has globalized and democratized access to information. Critical literacy has not kept up with that access, contributing to a generation that can be either too wary or too accepting. Using a mix of scholarly and popular sources, students will explore their own information seeking behaviors, think about biases, and ultimately begin to place themselves as both consumers and creators of information.

FYS Objectives and Aims of First-Year Seminar

This course, as a First-Year Seminar (FYS), was created to enhance and sharpen your college level reading, writing, and analytical skills through the lens of information work. In class we will be wrestling with questions such as: How does the process of seeking information create networks? What are the implications of corporate control of information? How do we create, judge, and evaluate the "value" of information? Can information ever be unbiased?

Learning Objectives and Course Goals

All First-Year Seminars share the same five common goals:

- Expand and deepen students' understanding of the world and of themselves
- Enhance their ability to read and think critically
- Enhance their ability to communicate effectively, in writing, speech, and other appropriate forms
- Develop the fundamentals of information literacy and library research
- Provide the opportunity for students to work closely with a faculty mentor

Blackboard

All course-related information will be available on Blackboard. That includes readings, copies of the syllabus, assignments, rubrics, and grades. If you have not already, please familiarize yourself with the Blackboard website and contact us immediately if you have any difficulties. We may also use Blackboard to post announcements and other communication.

Course Readings and Access

Required Text

- danah boyd. 2014. *It's complicated: The social lives of networked teens*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

This text is available for purchase in the campus bookstore. It is also freely available at danah.org, but if you prefer to read on paper, it will be more efficient and eco-friendly to simply buy a copy of the printed book (rather than printing it out yourself).

A physical copy of the text is also available at Boatwright Library as a Course Reserve. It can be checked out for two hours at a time by asking at the front desk.

Additional readings will be required throughout the semester and are listed in the course schedule on the day when we will discuss them (that is, you should have read them PRIOR TO class on that day). All readings will be available through Blackboard. **It is recommended that you print out copies of these readings and bring them with you to class.** We will be providing reading guides for all of the assigned readings. Quizzes will be based upon the reading guides and will be open-note.

Course Work Expectations and Submitting Assignments

Your final grade will be based upon your performance on written assignments, class participation, discussion leadership, and your oral presentation. This is a seminar-based class. This means you **must** do the readings and participate during class discussions. Participation will be measured will be through pop quizzes and "the conversation game."

We will provide a rubric for all written assignments that clarify our expectations on your writing. All of your written assignments are scaffolded, meaning that they are created to build upon each other. To receive a passing grade for this course, you must hand in all written assignments and complete the required oral presentation. Failure to do will result in an F for the course.

We recognize that reading, researching, and writing are processes. To that end, we have built in time in class to revise, rewrite, and think. Our ultimate goal is to help you become a better reader, writer, and thinker who is prepared for—and excited about—future college-

level work. We want you to produce products in this class that you are genuinely interested in and passionate about.

As social scientists, we are aware of the role unconscious bias can play in evaluation and so will attempt to remove as much of that bias as possible. **To do so, we ask that you submit all of your writing assignments with your UR ID in the upper left corner INSTEAD OF your name.** We ask that you submit all of your written assignments through Blackboard (with the exception of your rough draft, which requires that you bring a paper copy with you to class, and peer review forms, which you will complete on paper). Please do not email assignments to us. When we finish grading your assignments, we will match them up to your name in Blackboard and return the graded assignment back to you via Blackboard.

Special Issues for this Team-Taught Course:

1. Communication: We (instructors) will work hard to communicate clearly and consistently with each other and with you (students), so we ask the same of you. Please communicate with us as much as possible and if you need to email us something urgent, please email both of us.
2. Grading: At the beginning of the semester, we will both review each piece of written work, will both provide comments, and then will work together to give you a grade. Later in the semester, you may receive comments and a grade from only one of us for a given assignment, but both of us will always read all of your writing and we will be careful to balance grading to avoid bias.

Assessments

Written Communication	Points
Response and Reflection Papers (3 @ 750 words each)	60
Information Log (3 day analysis)	15
Information Topic & Article	10
Example Annotation (250 words)	10
Annotated Bibliography (1750)	40
Rough Draft (at least 1000 words)	40
Final Paper (at least 1500 words)	40
Participation & Oral Communication	
Quizzes	40
Class Participation/Rhetorical Moves	50
Final Presentation	10
Peer Review	10
Discussion Leadership	25

Throughout the semester you will have the opportunity to earn up to 350 points towards your final course grade. For all written communication, we will use a 100-point grading scale. For quizzes, we will grade pass/fail and will drop the lowest grade. We will keep tallies of the "conversation game" and other forms of class participation and at the end of the semester we will provide you with a final score. Mid-semester, we will provide you with an estimate of your participation score.

Fractional grades may be rounded up at the discretion of the instructors. This will only occur when you have demonstrated sustained involvement and engagement with the course material. This sustained engagement can be demonstrated by consistent attendance, handing in all assignments on time and regular participation in class discussions.

You will also have several opportunities to earn extra credit points for things such as attending Academic Skills Center workshops, campus lectures, and events. These opportunities will be announced as they occur over the course of the semester and we will give specific instructions about what you must do to earn credit. All extra credit must be completed by November 20, 2017.

Once all assignments have been scored, we will calculate the final course grade.

The grade scale is as follows:

A: 100 – 96	C: 76.9 – 73.0
A-: 95.9 – 90	C-: 72.9 – 70.0
B+: 89.9 – 87.0	D+: 69.9 – 67.0
B: 86.9 – 83.0	D: 66.9 – 63.0
B-: 82.9 – 80.0	D-: 62.9 – 60.0
C+: 79.9 – 77.0	F: 59.9 – 0

Attendance Policies

We will take attendance in every class. You are allowed to miss up to 2 classes without penalty. After missing more than 2 classes, we will subtract 3 points from your final grade. If you miss more than two classes, we will be concerned with your ability to keep with the course work and discussions. We do however recognize that life is complicated and you may be sick or have familial obligations. If attendance becomes a problem, communicate with us. Credibility is earned and communicating clearly is one way to earn credibility.

Late Paper Policy

We expect all papers to be submitted on the day that they are due. If they are not submitted on time, you will be penalized 10% of your grade. i.e. if your paper was a B+, it

will now be a C+. 10% will be taken for every day a paper is late. If there is an emergency or problem with submitting a paper, please let us know as soon as possible

Cell Phone and Device Policies

This course is designed to be a collaborative and discussion based class. So we ask that you put away all laptops and cell phones during the class period. Please take notes on paper.

Exceptions to this rule may be made on a case-by-case basis. One of the books is available online and if you need to use the online version of the book, you may open your device.

Support for First Year Seminars

Counseling and Psychological Services: CAPS (<http://wellness.richmond.edu/offices/caps/> or (804) 289-8119): Assists currently enrolled, full-time, degree-seeking students in improving their mental health and well-being, and in handling challenges that may impede their growth and development. Services include short-term counseling and psychotherapy, crisis intervention, psychiatric consultation, and related services.

Writing Center: The Writing Center (<http://writing.richmond.edu> or (804) 289-8263) assists writers at all levels of experience, across all majors. Students can schedule appointments with trained writing consultants who offer friendly critiques of written work. The Writing Center is located in Boatwright Library on the first floor in the Research Commons area and we have a Writing Consultant assigned to our course, **Chris Petronio** (christopher.petronio@richmond.edu). Part of your grade will involve making an appointment with Chris after the completion of your annotated bibliography *and* after finishing the rough draft of your final paper.

Library: An important aspect of this First Year Seminar is the work you will do to build your information literacy and library research skills. These skills will be developed throughout the semester, but enhanced by our library research session with our FYS librarian, **Carrie Ludovico** (cludovic@richmond.edu).

The library research session will introduce you to Carrie and to the resources available at the UR Libraries, including the library website, catalog and research databases. The session will also discuss ways to cite and evaluate your information.

Even though your instructors are also librarians and are available any time to help you with library research, we think it's important that you have the option to meet with a librarian who is not your instructor and can model the role that librarians will play throughout your career at UR. Feel free to email Carrie any time for help or consultation about your research.

Getting help from our Writing Consultant and Librarian are authorized and encouraged!

Academic Integrity

Integrity is at the heart of scholarship and, you will likely find, many aspects of your life. We will discuss the specifics of what integrity means in an academic environment, but in short, you are expected to understand and uphold the [UR Honor Code](#) at all times, err on the side of caution, and ask questions if you are unsure.

Week 1

August 28

- Syllabus Review, Classroom Expectations

August 30

- boyd, preface, Introduction & Chapter 1

Week 2

September 4

- **INFORMATION TOPIC & ARTICLE DUE by 11:45am**
- Wildemuth "Developing a research question"
- Treem & Leonardi, "What is expertise? Who is an expert? Some definitive answers"
- <http://www.danah.org> (explore informally)

September 6

- **INFORMATION LOG DUE by 11:45am**
- boyd, Ch. 2 and 3

Week 3

September 11

- **INFORMATION DIET RESPONSE PAPER DUE by 11:45am**
- Borgman, Ch. 8 "Disciplines, Documents and Data"

September 13

- Bergstrom & West, "How do you know a paper is legit?"
- Levitin, "Identifying Expertise"

Week 4

September 18

- **ARTICLE RESPONSE PAPER DUE by 11:45am**
- *LIBRARIAN INSTRUCTION SESSION*

September 20 – **Discussion Leader Group 1**

- boyd, Ch. 4 and 5

Week 5

September 25

- **SCHOLARLY SOURCE CITATION DUE by 11:45am**
- Irvin, "What is Academic-Writing?"

September 27

- boyd, Ch. 6
- Noble, " Social Influences on Technology"

Week 6

October 2

- **ANNOTATION DUE by 11:45am**
- King, "Letter from a Birmingham Jail"

October 4 – **Discussion Leader Group 2**

- boyd, Ch. 7 & 8

Week 7

October 9

- Levitin, "How do we know?"
- Peterson, "Charlize Theron is Not Here to Make Friends" Buzzfeed Article – *read 1st*
- Peterson, "not here to make friends" tiny letter article – *read 2nd*
- Bloyd-Peshkin & Sivek, "Magazines find there's little time to fact-check online"
- Benton, "The forces that drove this election's media failure are likely to get worse"

October 11

- **ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY DUE by 11:45am**
- Dvorsky, "The 12 cognitive biases that prevent you from being rational"
- The Oatmeal, "You're Not Going to Believe What I'm About to Tell You"
- *PSYCHOLOGY FACULTY VISIT*

Week 8

October 16: Fall Break – NO CLASS

October 18

- White, "Authoring the self: Scholarly identity in Performative Times"
- Grollman, "Objectivity doesn't exist (and that's a good thing)"
- Sumerau, "What is me-search?"
- boyd, "what's in a name" <http://www.danah.org/name.html>
- Berry, "Why objectivity still matters"

Week 9

October 23

- Bizup, "An Alternative Vocabulary that Emphasizes Use" from BEAM: A rhetorical vocabulary for teaching research based writing. **pp 75-77 ONLY**
- Swales, "Create a Research Space"
- Booth, Colomb, & Williams, "Assembling Reasons and Evidence"

October 25 – **Discussion Leader Group 3**

- Winner, "Do Artifacts Have Politics?"
- Jeffries, "Google's featured snippets are worse than fake news"
- Levy, "To Grow in Wisdom: Vannevar Bush, information overload, and the life of leisure"

Week 10

October 30

- Groeger, "When the designer shows up the design"
- Best, "Thinking about Social Statistics"
- Yau, "How to spot visualization lies"

November 1 – **Discussion Leader Group 4**

- Davies, "How Statistics lost their power"
- boyd & Crawford, "Six provocations for big data"
- Franco, Malhotra, & Simonovits, "Publication bias in the social sciences: Unlocking the file drawer"

Week 11

November 6

- **ROUGH DRAFT DUE on BlackBoard by 11:45am AND bring a copy with you to class**

November 8

- Hjortshoj, "Rules and Errors"
- *PEER REVIEW CLASS SESSION*

Week 12

November 13

- **PEER REVIEW FORM DUE in class**
- *RACE AND RACISM PROJECT ARCHIVIST VISIT*

November 15 – **Discussion Leader Group 5**

- boyd, "Did Media Literacy Backfire?"
- Mooney, "The Science of why we don't believe science"
- Caulfield, "Yes, digital literacy. But which one?"

Week 13

November 20

- *IN CLASS PAPER REVIEW APPOINTMENTS*
- *PRESENTATION SIGN UPS*

November 22: Thanksgiving Break – NO CLASS

Week 14

November 27

- Jackson & Jamieson, "Was Clarence Darrow a Creationist? How to be sure."

November 29

- **FINAL DRAFT DUE by 11:45am**
- *Skim ACRL Framework for Information Literacy for Higher Education*

Week 15

December 4

- *CLASS PRESENTATIONS*

December 6

- *CLASS PRESENTATIONS*

Finals Period

Per the university schedule, our class's final is scheduled for Thursday, December 14 from 9am-12pm. We will NOT meet during this time, but your Self Reflection Paper is due at the beginning of this period (submit via Blackboard).

- **SELF REFLECTION PAPER DUE December 11, 2017 by 9:00am**

Course Bibliography: An APA-style list of all our course readings.

Bergstrom, C. & West, J. (2017). How do you know a paper is legit?

http://callingbullshit.org/tools/tools_legit.html

Benton, J. (2016). The forces that drove this election's media failure are likely to get worse.

NiemanLab. <http://www.niemanlab.org/2016/11/the-forces-that-drove-this-elections-media-failure-are-likely-to-get-worse/>

Berry, S. J. (2005). Why objectivity still matters. *NeimanReports*.

<http://niemanreports.org/articles/why-objectivity-still-matters/>

Best, J. (2012). Thinking about Social Statistics. In *Damned Lies and Statistics*. Berkeley, CA:

University of California Press.

Bizup, J. (2008). BEAM: A rhetorical vocabulary for teaching research-based writing. *Rhetoric*

Review, 27(1), 72–86. DOI: 10.1080/07350190701738858

<http://writing.dawsoncollege.qc.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/08/Bizup-BEAM.pdf>

Bloyd-Peshkin, S. & Sivek, S. C. (2017). Magazines find there's little time to fact-check online.

Columbia Journalism Review. <https://www.cjr.org/b-roll/magazine-fact-checking-online.php>

Booth, W. C., Colomb, G. G., & Williams, J. M. (2009). Assembling Reasons and Evidence. In *Chicago Guides to Writing, Editing, and Publishing: The Craft of Research*, 3rd Ed.

<http://site.ebrary.com/lib/richmond/reader.action?docID=10288700&ppg=127>

Borgman, C. L. (2007). *Scholarship in the Digital Age: Information, Infrastructure, and the Internet*.

Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.

boyd, d. (2017). Did media literacy backfire? *apophenia*.

<http://www.zephorio.org/thoughts/archives/2017/01/09/did-media-literacy-backfire.html>

- boyd, d. (2014). *It's complicated: the social lives of networked teens*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- boyd, d. and Crawford, K. (2011). Six provocations for big data. *A Decade in Internet Time: Symposium on the Dynamics of the Internet and Society*, September 2011.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1926431>
- Caulfield, M. (2016). Yes, digital literacy. But which one?
<https://hapgood.us/2016/12/19/yes-digital-literacy-but-which-one/>
- Cottom, T. M. (2017). What #LowerEd Is and Is Not.
<https://tressiemc.com/essays-2/what-lowered-is-and-is-not/>
- Davies, W. (2017, January 19). How statistics lost their power – and why we should fear what comes next. *The Guardian*. <https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2017/jan/19/crisis-of-statistics-big-data-democracy>
- Dvorsky, G. (2013). The 12 cognitive biases that prevent you from being rational. *Gizmodo*.
<http://io9.gizmodo.com/5974468/the-most-common-cognitive-biases-that-prevent-you-from-being-rational>
- Franco, A., Malhotra, N., & Simonovits, G. (2014). Publication bias in the social sciences: Unlocking the file drawer. *Science*, 345(6203), p. 1502. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1255484>
- Groeger, L. (2017). When the designer shows up in the design. *ProPublica*.
<https://www.propublica.org/article/when-the-designer-shows-up-in-the-design>
- Grollman, E. (2014). Objectivity doesn't exist (and that's a good thing). *Conditionally Accepted*.
<https://conditionallyaccepted.com/2014/04/10/objectivity/>
- Hjortshoj, K. (2009). Rules and errors. In *The Transition to College Writing*, 2nd Ed. Boston: Bedford/St. Martin's. pp. 79-106.
- Inman, M. (n.d.). You're not going to believe what I'm about to tell you. *The Oatmeal*.
<http://theoatmeal.com/comics/believe>
- Irvin, L. L. (2010). What is 'academic' writing?" In *Writing Spaces: Readings on Writing*, Vol. 1, C. Lowe and P Zemliansky, Eds. Anderson, S.C.: Parlor Press. <http://writingspaces.org/essays>
- Jackson, B. & Jamieson, K. H. (2007). Was Clarence Darrow a creationist? How to be sure. In *unSpun: Finding facts in a world of disinformation*, pp. 153-178. New York: Random House.
- Jeffries, A. (2017). Google's featured snippets are worse than fake news. *The Outline*.
<https://theoutline.com/post/1192/google-s-featured-snippets-are-worse-than-fake-news>
- King Jr., M. L. (1963). *Letter from a Birmingham jail*.
https://www.africa.upenn.edu/Articles_Gen/Letter_Birmingham.html

- Levitin, D. (2016). How do we know? In *A field guide to lies: Critical thinking in the information age*. New York: Dutton.
- Levitin, D. (2016). Identifying expertise. In *A field guide to lies: Critical thinking in the information age*. New York: Dutton.
- Levy, D.M. (2005). To grow in wisdom: Vannevar Bush, information overload, and the life of leisure. *Proceedings of the 5th ACM/IEEE-CS Joint Conference on Digital Libraries (JCDL '05)*.
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/4118554/>
- Mooney, C. (2011). The Science of why we don't believe science. *Mother Jones*.
<http://www.motherjones.com/politics/2011/03/denial-science-chris-mooney>
- Noble, S. (2014). *Social influences on technology: Safiya Noble at TEDxUIUC*.
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UXuJ8yQf6dI>
- Peterson, A. H. (2017). Charlize Theron is not here to make friends. *Buzzfeed News*.
https://www.buzzfeed.com/annehelenpetersen/charlize-theron-is-not-here-to-make-friends?utm_term=.iyd43oRbg#.tqa0myd2A
- Peterson, A. H. (2017). not here to make friends. *Tinyletter.com: Anne Helen Peterson* [newsletter].
<http://tinyletter.com/annehelenpetersen/letters/not-here-to-make-friends>
- Sumerau, J. (2015). What is me-search? *Write Where it Hurts*.
<https://www.writewhereithurts.net/what-is-me-search/>
- Swales, J. (2015). 'Create a Research Space' (CARS) model of research introductions. In *Writing About Writing: a College Reader*, Elizabeth Wardle, Ed.
https://researchwrit.files.wordpress.com/2015/01/article_swales_cars-model.pdf
- Treem, J. W. & Leonardi, P. M. (2016). What is expertise? Who is an expert? Some definitive answers. In *Expertise, Communication, and Organizing*, J.W. Treem & P.M. Leonardi, Eds. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
<http://newman.richmond.edu:2048/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198739227.001.0001>
- White, J. (2010). Authoring the self: Scholarly identity in performative times. *Creative Approaches to Research*, 3(1), 1-2.
- Wildemuth, B. (2009). Developing a research question. In *Applications of Social Research Methods to Questions in Information and Library Science*. Westport, CT: Libraries Unlimited. p 11-15.
- Winner, L. (1980). Do Artifacts Have Politics? *Daedalus*, 109(1), pp. 121-136.
- Yau, N. (2017). How to spot visualization lies. *Flowing Data*.
<https://flowingdata.com/2017/02/09/how-to-spot-visualization-lies/>